# Evaluation of Some Commercial Products of Entomopathogenic Fungi as Biocontrol Agents for *Aphis fabae* Scopoli (Hemiptera: Aphididae)

Saruhan\*, İ.; İ. Erper\*; C. Tuncer\*; H. Uçak\*\*; C. Öksel\*\*\* and İ. Akça\*

\*Ondokuz Mayis University, Fac. of Agric., Dept. of Plant Protection, Samsun, Turkey \*\*Süleyman Demirel University, Fac. of Agric., Dept. of Plant Protection, Isparta, Turkey \*\*\*Namık Kemal University, Fac. of Agric., Dept. of Plant Protection, Tekirdağ, Turkey (*Received: May 20, 2014 and Accepted: July 12, 2014*)

### ABSTRACT

Pathogenicity of five commercial bioinsecticides; Bio-Catch (*Verticillium lecanii*), Priority (*Paecilomyces funosoroseus*), Bio-Nematon (*Paecilomyces lilacinus*), Bio-Magic (*Metarhizium anisopliae*) and Bio-Power (*Beauveria bassiana*) was evaluated against *Aphis fabae* under laboratory conditions at 20 and 25°C. LT<sub>50</sub> values for *V. lecanii*, *P. fumosoroseus*, *P. lilacinus*, *M. anisopliae*, *B. bassiana* at 20 °C were 2.33, 2.49, 2.67, 2.24 and 2.60/days, respectively while at 25°C they were 2.03, 2.18, 2.28, 2.04 and 2.77/days, respectively. LT<sub>90</sub> values at 20°C were 4.49, 5.94, 6.46, 5.01 and 6.64, while at 25°C, they were 5.03, 5.40, 6.68, 5.18 and 7.42, respectively. On the 7<sup>th</sup> day, mortality rates were approximately 100% at all treatments. Insignificant differences were found among the tested products as well as between the two temperatures studied (P=0.989, P>0.05).

Key words: Bioinsecticides, Entomopathogenic fungi, Aphis fabae, Evaluation.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Aphids have a world wide distribution, although the greatest number of species occur in temperate regions, and represent a huge pest problem in agriculture (Yeo, 2000). Close to 450 species have been recorded from crop plants and only about 100 have successfully exploited the agricultural environment to the extent that they are of economic significance (Tuncer *et al.*, 2004 and Emdem and Harrington, 2007). It is not surprising that with such high use of chemical insecticides, there has been increasing concern over public health and the environment. Additionally, problems of insecticide resistance and secondary pest outbreaks have also become more apparent (Yeo, 2000).

*Aphis fabae* Scopoli (Hemiptera: Aphididae), the black bean aphid, is one of the most widespread pests of cultivated crops around the world (Völkl and Stechmann, 1998). *A. fabae* has been recorded on more than 200 host plant species throughout the world; in Iran, about 50 plant species have been found to be susceptible to attack by this aphid (Adabi *et al.*, 2010).

Aphid control is predominantly achieved through the use of chemical insecticides; however, this practice has caused environmental problems (Scorsetti *et al.*, 2007). Entomopathogenic fungi are promising alternatives to chemical insecticides (Strasser *et al.*, 2000).

Fungal entomopathogens such as *Lecanicillium* (formerly *Verticillium*) spp., *Beauveria bassiana*, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, *Isaria farinosa* (formerly *Paecilomyces farinosus*) and *Isaria fumosorosea* (formerly *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*) play an important role in the regulation of insect populations (Zimmermann, 2008 and Gurulingappa *et al.*, 2011). A number of studies have identified entomopathogenic fungi as effective against *Aphis* spp. (Mesquita and Lacey, 2001; Steinkraus et al, 2002; Yeo *et al.*, 2003; Vu *et al.*, 2007; Scorsetti *et al.*, 2007; Gurulingappa *et al.*, 2011 and Arıcı *et al.*, 2012).

Lecanicillium muscarium isolated from aphids, scales, whiteflies, thrips and other insects in various regions of the world has proven to be pathogenic against a number of different insects including whiteflies, aphids and thrips (Goettel et al., 2008). P. lilacinus, the nematophagous fungus, has showed greatest efficacy in controlling wingless forms of Aphis gossypii. The aphid's population was almost totally eliminated under laboratory conditions (Fiedler and Sosnowska, 2007). L. muscarium has been shown to be an important natural enemy of Ricania simulans (Walker) (Ricaniidae). a widespread pest in the Black Sea region of Turkey which has an extensive range of hosts includes fruits, vegetables and ornamentals (Güçlü et al., 2010).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of five fungal commercial bioinsecticides against *A. fabae* under laboratory conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Commercial products**

Efficacy of the five commercial bioinsecticides; Bio-Catch (Verticillium lecanii), Priority (Paecilomyces fumosoroseus), Bio-Nematon (Paecilomyces lilacinus), Bio-Magic (Metarhizium anisopliae) and Bio-Power (Beauveria bassiana) at

Commercial Product	Entomopathogenic Fungi	Concentration (conidia ml <sup>-1</sup> )	Manufacturer		
Bio Power	Bauveria bassiana	$1 \ge 10^8$			
Bio Magic	Metarhizum anisopilae	$1 \ge 10^8$			
Bio Catch	Sio Catch Verticillium lecanii		1. Stanes & Company		
Priority	Paecilomyces fumosoroseus	$1 \ge 10^8$	Ltd., Ilidia		
Bio Nematon	Paecilomyces lilacinus	$1 \ge 10^8$			

Table (1): Compounds tested against the Aphis fabae

250 mL/100 L water was evaluated against second instar nymphs of *A. fabae* at 20 and 25°C. The commercial products were diluted at the manufactory recommended rates for using in this study (Table 1). **Aphid** 

Aphis fabae was cultured on bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Cultures were maintained at the laboratory conditions of  $18^{\circ}$ C,  $65\pm5\%$  R.H. and 16:8h light:dark photoperiod (Douglas, 1997).

# **Experimental design**

Individuals from the second-nymphal instar of A. fabae were placed on bean leaves in 9-cm Petri dishes containing sterile water-soaked blotters (10 nymphs per plate). Five treatments were applied to the aphids (2 mL per Petri dish), using a Potter spray tower (Burkard, Rickmansworth, Hertz UK). Petri dishes were loosely capped to prevent escape. Control leaves were treated with sterile distilled water (2-mL). Dishes were incubated at either 20±1°C or 25±1°C at 65±5% R.H. and a 16:8h light:dark photoperiod for 7 days. All dishes were inspected daily. Dead nymphs were counted under a Leica EZ4 stereo dissecting microscope at 40-70X magnification, and percent mortality was calculated per Petri dish. The experiment was repeated twice, with four replicates per treatment.

## Statistical analysis

The probit analysis program POLO-PC (LeOra Software, 1994) was used to calculate 50% lethal time ( $LT_{50}$ ) and 90% lethal time ( $LT_{90}$ ). Effects of different temperatures and entomopathogenic fungal species on aphid mortality were analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (P<0,05), followed by a comparison of means using Duncan's multiple range test (from SPSS, v21).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

LT<sub>50</sub> values (Fig. 1 and Table 2) showed that at 20°C, *M. anisopliae* (2.24 days) was the most effective entomopathogenic fungus against *A. fabae*, followed by *V. lecanii* (2.33 days), *P. fumosoroserus* (2.49 days), *B. bassiana* (2.60 days) and then *P. lilacinus* (2.67 days). *V. lecanii* (2.03 days) was the most effective species at 25°C, followed by *M. anisopliae* (2.04 days), *P. fumosoroseus* (2.18 days), *P. lilacinus* (2.28 days) and *B. bassiana* (2.77 days) (Table 2). Some isolates of *M. anisopliae* used against

*Tetranychus kanzawai* and  $LT_{50}$  values was determined as 3.00 - 4.23 days. In the same study, *P. lilacinus*  $LT_{50}$  value was determined as 6.33 days at 27°C (Sanjaya *et al.*, 2013).

The LT<sub>50</sub> values of the entomopathogenic fungi used in this study decreased as the temperature increased. An increase for only the LT<sub>50</sub> value of *B. bassiana* was observed. This is due to the fact that, the optimum growth temperature of *B. bassiana* is 25°C and higher temperature values. In a previous study, *B. bassiana* was used in an efficacy trial against peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* and the LT<sub>50</sub> value was 4.40 days at 20°C, while this value has dropped to 1.55 days at 30°C (Vu *et al.*, 2007).

LT<sub>90</sub> values (Fig. 1 and Table 2) showed that *V. lecanii* was the most effective entomopathogenic fungus against *A. fabae* at both 20 and 25°C. It recorded (4.49 days), followed by *M. anisopliae* (5.01 days), *P. fumosoroseus* (5.94/ days), *P. lilacinus* (6.46 days) and then *B. bassiana* (6.64 days). Respective results at 25°C were (5.03 days), followed by *M. anisopliae* (5.18/ days), *P. fumosoroseus* (5.40 days), *P. lilacinus* (6.68 days) and *B. bassiana* (7.42 days). The reason for such effect of *P. lilacinus* against the black bean aphid may be because the fungus is much specific to nematodes.

Data revealed that there was insignificant difference among the  $LT_{50}$  and  $LT_{90}$  of the five products tested as well between the two temperatures used (P=0.989 P>0.05) (Table 2 and Figure 1).

Yeo et al., (2003) reported that the pathogenicity of V. lecanii increased as the temperature increased. However, at the two different studied temperatures, the LT<sub>50</sub> and LT<sub>90</sub> values of fungus species showed non statistically significant. Commercial preparations of B. bassiana, V. lecanii and M. anisopliae were tested against A. fabae and M. persicae at different temperatures (Yeo et al., 2003). The LT<sub>50</sub> values at 23°C were in agreement with those recorded in the present study. Some isolates of B. bassiana and M. anisopliae were tested against Collosobruchus maculatus at 27 °C and the LT<sub>50</sub> values ranged between 2.38 to 6.77 (Cherry et al., 2005). Some isolates of B. bassiana and M. anisopliae were tried against A. gossypii at 25-27°C and the LT<sub>50</sub> values ranged between 2.54 to 3.66 (Herlinda et al., 2010).

		20 °C		25 °C			
Entomopathogenic fungi	LT <sub>50</sub> (95% fiducial limit)	LT <sub>90</sub> (95% fiducial limit)	Relative potency (ratio)	LT <sub>50</sub> (95% fiducial limit)	LT <sub>90</sub> (95% fiducial limit)	Relative potency (ratio)	
V. lecanii	2,33 (2.16-2.49) ab*A**	4,49 (4.09-5.01) cA***	1,07	2,03 (1.82-2.23) <sup>b A**</sup>	5,03 (4.50-5,77) cA***	1,08	
P. fumosoroseus	2,49 (2,28-2,71) abA	5,94 (5,32-6,80) <sup>ab A</sup>	1,00	2,18 (1.97-2.39) <sup>b A</sup>	5,40 (4.84-6,19) bc A	1,00	
P. lilacinus	2,67 (2,46-2,89 <sup>) a A</sup>	6,46 (5,79-7,40) <sup>a A</sup>	0,93	2,28 (2,03-2.52) <sup>b A</sup>	6,68 (5,81-8,00) abA	0,96	
M. anisopliae	2,24 (2,04-2,43) bA	5,01 (4,53-5,66) bc A	1,12	2,04 (1.82-2.24) <sup>b A</sup>	5,18 (4.62-5,95) bc A	1,07	
B. bassiana	2,60 (2,36-2,84) ab A	6,64 (5,88-7,72) <sup>a A</sup>	0,96	2,77 (2,53-3,02) <sup>a A</sup>	7,42 (6,51-8,77) <sup>aA</sup>	0,79	

Table (2): Lethal time (LT50 and LT90) for *Aphis fabae* treated with entomopathogenic fungi at different temperatures.

\* The same small letters within columns indicates no significant differences between means

\*\* The same capital letters within rows indicates no significant differences between means (LT<sub>50</sub>)

\*\*\* The same capital letters within rows indicates no significant differences between means (LT90)

Table (3): Slopes, regression equation,  $\chi^2$  and heterogeneity of *Aphis fabae* treated with entomopathogenic fungi at two different temperatures, 20 and 25 °C

	20 °C				25 °C				
Slope	Regression Equation	$\chi^2$	df	Heterogeneity	Slope	Regression Equation	$\chi^2$	df	Heterogeneity
3.73	Y = -1.37 + 3.73x	25.68	40	0.64	3.26	Y = -1.00 + 3.26x	27.86	40	0.70
3.40	Y = -1,35 + 3.40x	23,90	40	0.60	3,26	Y = -1.11 + 3.26x	31,33	40	0.78
3.34	Y = -1,43 + 3.34x	31,83	40	0.80	2,74	Y = -0.98 + 2,74x	29,10	40	0.73
3.65	Y = -1,28 + 3.65x	32,90	40	0.82	3,17	Y= -0,98+3,17x	34,66	40	0.87
3.15	Y=1,31+3.15x	27,67	40	0.69	3.00	Y=1,33+3.00x	21,83	40	0,55
	Slope 3.73 3.40 3.34 3.65 3.15	$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $



Figure (1): LT<sub>50</sub> and LT<sub>90</sub> of Aphis fabae treated with entomopathogenic fungi at 20 and 25°C.



Figure (2): Mortality rates of Aphis fabae treated with entomopathogenic fungi at 20 and 25°C

Slopes, regression equations,  $\chi^2$  and heterogeneity values for *A. fabae* treated with the entomopathogenic fungi at different temperatures are given in Table (3). Mortality of *A. fabae* was recoded from day 1 at all applications and achieved nearly 100% mortality on day 7, with all fungal entomopathogen species (Fig. 2).

At 20 and 25°C and in all the entomopathogenic fungi that used against *A. fabae*, a rate of 50%

mortality was attained on the  $3^{rd}$  day and approximately 90% mortality was on the  $6^{th}$  day.

It would be appropriate to test the biocontrol agents against different pest species, as *P. lilacinus* which is a nematophagous fungus when tested against *A. gossypii* in laboratory, it caused a mortality rate of 96% on 7<sup>th</sup> day (Fiedler and Sosnowska, 2007). These results suggest that commercial bioinsecticides may be very successful in biological control of the *A*.

*fabae* and may be an alternative for chemical pest management.

#### REFERENCES

- Adabi, S. T., A. T. Asghar, Y. Fathipour and A. A. Zamani. 2010. Life history and demographic parameters of *Aphis fabae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and its parasitoid, *Aphidius matricariae* (Hymenoptera: Aphididae) on four sugar beet cultivars. Acta Entomologica Serbica, 15(1): 61-73.
- Arıcı, Ş. E., İ. Gülmez, H. Demirekin, H. Zahmekıran and İ. Karaca. 2012. Efficiency of entomopathogenic fungus *Fusarium subglutinans* against *Aphis fabae* Scopoli (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Türk. Biyo. Müc. Derg. 3 (1): 89-96.
- Cherry, A. J., P. Abalo and K. Hell. 2005. A laboratory assessment of the potential of different strains of the entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) to control *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in stored cowpea. J. Stored Prod. Res., 41(3): 295–309.
- Douglas, A. E. 1997. Provenance, experience and plant utilization by the polyphagous aphid, *Aphis fabae*. Ent. Exp. Appl., 83: 161–170.
- Emden, H. F. V. and R. Harrington. 2007. Aphids as crop pests CABI, Wallingford, United Kingdom, 717 pp.
- Fiedler, Z. and D. Sosnowska. 2007. Nematophagous fungus *Paecilomyces lilacinus* (Thom) Samson is also a biological agent for control of greenhouse insects and mite pests. BioControl, 52:547–558.
- Goettel, M. S., M. Koike, J. J. Kim, D. Aiuchi, R. Shinya and J. Brodeur. 2008. Potential of *Lecanicillium* spp. for management of insects, nematodes and plant diseases. J. Invertebr. Pathol., 98: 256-261.
- Gurulingappa P., P. Mc Gee and G. A. Sword. 2011. *In vitro* and in plant compatibility of insecticides and the endophytic entomopathogen, *Lecanicillium lecanii*. Mycopathologia, 172: 161–168.
- Güçlü, Ş., K. Ak, C. Eken, H. Akyol, S. Reyhan, B. Beytut, and R. Yıldırım. 2010. Pathogenicity of *Lecanicillium muscarium* against *Ricania simulans*. Bull. Insectol., 63(2):243-246.
- Herlinda, S., C. Irsan, R. Mayasarı and S. Septariani. 2010. Identification and selection of entomopathogenic fungi as biocontrol agents for South Sumatra *Aphis gossypii*. Microbiol Indones. 4 (3):137-142.
- LeOra Software, 1994. POLO-PC: a user's guide to probit or logit analysis. LeOra Software 28 p., Berkeley, CA.

- Mesquita, A. L. M. and L. A. Lacey. 2001. Interactions among the entomopathogenic fungus, *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes), the parasitoid, *Aphelinus asychis* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) and their aphid host. Biological Control 22, 51–59.
- Sanjaya, Y., V. R. Ocampo and B. L. Caoili. 2013. Selection of entomopathogenic fungi against the red spider mite *Tetranychus kanzawai* (Kishida) (Tetranychidae: Acarina). Arthropods, 2013, 2(4): 208-215.
- Scorsetti, A. C., R. A. Humber, A. J. J. Garci and C.
  C. Lo´ Pez Lastra. 2007. Natural occurrence of entomopathogenic fungi (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales) of aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) pests of horticultural crops in Argentina. BioControl 52:641–655.
- Steinkraus D. C., G. O. Boys and J. A. Rosenheim. 2002. Classical biological control of *Aphis* gossypii (Homoptera: Aphididae) with *Neozygites* fresenii (Entomophthorales: Neozygitaceae) in California cotton. Biological Control 25 297–304.
- Strasser, H., A. Vey and T. M. Butt. 2000. Are there any risks in using entomopathogenic fungi for pest control, with particular reference to the bioactive metabolites of *Metarhizium*, *Tolypocladium* and *Beauveria* species?. Biocontrol Science and Technology. 10, 717-735.
- Tuncer, C., S. Mennan, İ. Akça, İ. Saruhan and F. Akyazı. 2004. Biological control of greenhouse pest. J. of Fac. of Agric., OMU, 19(2): 83-92.
- Volkl, W. and D. H. Stechrnann. 1998. Parasitism of the black bean aphid (*Aphis fabae*) by *Lysiphlebus fabarurn* (Hym.: Aphidiidae), the influence of host plant and habitat. J. Appl. Ent. 122, 201-206.
- Vu, V. H., S. I. Hong, and K. Kim. 2007. Selection of entomopathogenic fungi for aphid control. J. Biosci. and Bioengin. 104 (6): 498–505.
- Yeo, H. 2000. Myconsecticides for aphid management: A biorational approach. Division of Agriculture and Horticulture, University of Nottingham Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough, Leicestershire. LE12 5RD, UK 318 p.
- Yeo, H., J. K. Pell, P. G. Alderson, S. J. Clark and B. J. Pye. 2003. Laboratory evaluation of temperature effects on the germination and growth of entomopathogenic fungi and on their pathogenicity to two aphid species. Pest Manag. Sci., 59:156–165.
- Zimmermann, G. 2008. The entomopathogenic fungi *Isaria farinosa* (formerly *Paecilomyces farinosus*) and the *Isaria fumosorosea* species complex (formerly *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*): biology, ecology and use in biological control. Biocontrol Science and Technol., 18 (9), 865-901.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.